In Vitro Testing to Aflatoxin Binding by Glucomannan Yeast Product and Glucomannan Extract from Amorphophallus oncophyllus
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ABSTRACT

The aim of research was to test the capability of glucomannan yeast product (GYP) and glucomannan resulted from Amorphophallus oncophyllus extraction (GRE) to bind aflatoxin in in vitro testing. Before in vitro testing, both GYP and GRE were analyzed to determine proximate analysis, glucose, and mannose concentrations. In vitro testing used aflatoxin, binder and gastrointestinal fluid in 3% ringer solution. The weights of binders were 41.05; 82.1; 123.15; and 164.2 mg and weight of aflatoxin was 0.1642 µg of each tube. The results showed that the percentage of aflatoxin bound increased by the increasing weight either glucomannan from yeast product or glucomannan resulted from A. oncophyllus extraction. The percentages of aflatoxin binding with binder of both glucomannan yeast product were 19.72%; 21.51%; 42.25%; 46.35% and glucomannan from A. oncophyllus extraction were 4.08%; 28.72%; 36.73%; and 89.07%, consecutively. There were positive correlations (P<0.05) between the weight of binder and the percentage of aflatoxin bound, with coefficient correlations of GYP was 0.9602 and of GRE was 0.9338. In regression modeling, linear equation of GYP was Yp= -6.92 + 12.03x and of GRE was Ye= -31.53 + 21.07x. It is concluded that in vitro testing of glucomannan product of extraction from A. oncophyllus can bind aflatoxin.
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ABSTRAK

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menguji kemampuan glucomannan yeast product (GYP) dan glucomannan hasil ekstraksi dari Amorphophallus oncophyllus (GRE) dalam mengikat aflatoxin dengan uji in vitro. Sebelum diuji in vitro, GYP dan GRE diuji untuk menentukan analisis proksimat, glukosa, dan mannosa. Uji in vitro menggunakan aflatoxin, bahan pengikat (GYP dan GRE), cairan gastro intestinal ayam broiler 3% dalam larutan ringer. Bobot bahan pengikat adalah 41.05; 82.1; 123.15; dan 164.2 mg dan bobot aflatoxin 0,1642 µg di setiap tabung. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan persentase pengikatan aflatoxin meningkat sesuai dengan bertambahnya bobot bahan pengikat baik GYP maupun GEA. Persentase daya ikat aflatoxin GYP adalah 19.72%; 21.51%; 42.25%; 46.35% dan GEA adalah 4.08%; 28.72%; 36.73%; dan 89.07%. Hubungan antara bobot GYP dan GEA memiliki korelasi positif yang signifikan (P<0,05) dengan nilai koefisien korelasi untuk GYP adalah 0,9602 dan GEA adalah 0,9338. Persamaan regresi dari GYP adalah Yp= -6.92 + 12.03X, sedangkan untuk GEA adalah Ye= -31.53 + 21.07X. Kesimpulan dari penelitian ini bahwa secara in vitro glucomannan hasil ekstraksi A. oncophyllus mampu mengikat aflatoxin.
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INTRODUCTION

Feed determines productivity of livestock and affects the safety of animal products for human consumption. Good quality of feed will give good quality of livestock products such as egg, milk, meat and wool. Recently, feed industry formulated animal feed based on corn and soybean components. Corn, which it’s usage in feed formulation is high, is easily attacked by Aspergillus flavus, so that feed containing corn is easily contaminated by aflatoxin. A. flavus and A. parasiticus are the main producer of aflatoxin (Richard et al., 2009). There are approximately 20 related metabolites of aflatoxin, among which are aflatoxin B1, B2, G1, and G2 (Siddappa et al., 2012).

Aflatoxin causes health disorders in animal and human, because aflatoxin is carcinogenic level 1 (International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2012). Animals which are susceptible to contamination of aflatoxin are fish, poultry (duck, turkey, chicken and quail), and mammal. The adverse effects of consuming feeds containing aflatoxin are depressed appetite, reduced growth rate, reduced reproductive function and milk output in breeding livestock, suppressed immune function and general sub-standard performance. Aflatoxin causes petechial hemorrhages in the liver and kidneys of broiler chicken and increased the weight of liver (Denli et al., 2009).

Extensive research has been conducted to prevent mycotoxicosis but the aspects studies are mainly physical, chemical, nutritional and biological approaches. At present, most commercial aflatoxin binders are glucomannan containing yeast product (Girish & Devegowda 2006), hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate (Akkaya & Bal, 2012), zeolite (Kaki et al., 2012), bentonite (Nuryono et al., 2012), kaolin (Hesham, 2004), and activated carbon (Gallo & Masero, 2010). Glucomannan are shown to have binding potential for aflatoxin. Devegowda & Murthy (2005) reported that GYP, obtained from cell wall of Saccharomyces cerevisiae had the capacity to bind with mycotoxin. However, the source of glucomannans is not only the cell wall of S. cerevisiae. Glucomannan has also been extracted from the tuber of A. oncophylus plant.

It is accepted that before we conducted in vivo testing of glucomannan from yeast and glucomannan extracted from A. oncophylus as a potential aflatoxin binder, we had to conduct an in vitro test to determine their activities. Although result of in vitro testing is not always similar to in vivo testing, in vitro testing can screen material which can bind mycotoxin. In vitro experiment is simpler than in vivo experiment because in vitro testing only uses parts of biological components such as fluid of intestine, saliva, etc. whereas in in vitro experiment, the testing is conducted in live animal. Therefore, this experiment aimed to test the capability of glucomannan product extracted from A. oncophylus to bind aflatoxin with in vitro testing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Glucomannan used in this experiment were myco-sorb™ as glucomannan yeast product (GYP) and glucomannan extracted from A. oncophylus (GRE) by ethanol extraction. Ringer lactate solution and gastro intestinal solution of broiler chicken were used to dissolve binder and aflatoxin (Biopure™) in test tube.

Glucomannan extracted from A. oncophylus. Tubers A. oncophylus were collected from Sambit, Ponorogo, East Java, Indonesia. The skins of tuber A. oncophylus were peeled and puffed, for further slicing with 0.5 cm thickness. Slices of A. oncophylus were oven-dried at 80 °C for 8 h. Dried slices were grinded and sifted with 100 mesh sieve. The powder obtained from A. oncophylus was boiled in a glass (water: 30 mL/g flour), at a temperature of 45°C with stirring for 1 h. When the powder from the tuber of A. oncophylus formed a jelly-like texture, it was put in room temperature and filled with ethanol 96% (1:2) and then stirred and sieved. The resulted extract was poured on aluminum foil, and dried in oven at 60 °C for 48 h. Dried powder was ground to obtain flour of glucomannan extract of A. oncophylus (GRE).

Methods

Chemical analysis. The GYP and GRE were analyzed by proximate method including moisture, ash, crude protein, crude fiber and fat. Method of testing used National Standard Indonesia 01- 2891-1992 about Food and Beverages test methods. Moisture content was analyzed by drying with oven at 105 °C for 3 h and ash was analyzed by using muffle furnace at 550 °C for 3 h. Crude protein was analyzed by using kjeldahl method with 3 stages including destruction with H2SO4 distillation and nitrogen titration with NaOH. Crude protein was calculated from concentration of nitrogen obtained that was multiplied by 6.25. Crude fiber was obtained by extraction of sample with H2SO4 1.25% and NaOH 3.25%. Concentration of crude fat was obtained by extraction of sample with hexane.

Glucose content was tested by method of SNI 01-2891-1992 clausul 9, which was based on titrimetry. Sample with 5 g weight was filled in Erlenmeyer tube and added HCl 3%, then boiled for 3 h. After cooling, NaOH 30% and several drops of CH3COOH 3% were added. Ten milliliters of liquid samples were filled in Erlenmeyer tube and added 25 mL Luff Schoorl and 15 mL aquadest and then was boiled for 10 min. After cooling, 15 mL of KI 20% and 25 mL H2SO4 were added and titrated with Tio 0.1N.

Analysis of mannan was conducted by using Ohtsuki method (Ohtsuki, 1968) and the other name of the method was Mannosa Phenylhydrazone. Sample with the weight of 1 g was filled in Erlenmeyer tube and added 50 mL HCl 2% and boiled for 3 h. The solution was neutralized by NaOH and added activated charcoal then filtered with whattman 41 filter. The filtrates were distillated to 10 mL and added 0.4 g phenylhydrazine
hydrochloride, 0.65 g sodium acetate and 5mL aquadest, and then placed in refrigerator for 24 h. Sediment formed at the bottom of the solution was mannosaphenylhydrazine. Concentration of mannose was calculated by multiplying the weight of mannosaphenylhydrazine sediment with 0.67.

In vitro procedure. In vitro testing was begun by preparation of solution A and solution B. Stock of solution A was Aflatoxin B1 with concentration of 0.821 µg/mL and stock of solution B was gastro-intestinal fluid of chicken in 3% ringer lactate. The binders to be tested (GYP and GRE) were weighted 41.05; 82.1; 123.15; and 164.2 mg and put into test tubes, then 0.2 mL of solution A (aflatoxin 0.1642 µg) and 40 mL solution B were added to each test tube and gently shacked for 5 min. Control samples (n= 2) were prepared by adding 0.2 mL solution A and 40 mL solution B without binder. The ratios of aflatoxin: binder weights (0.1642 : 82,100 µg) used in the current study were designed to be about 1:500,000 (w/w), according to Aflatoxin: binder ratio used in our previous experiment (Moschini et al., 2008). This ratio was chosen to reflect possible field conditions.

All tubes were incubated in water bath at 39°C for 2 h, and at the end of incubation period, all tubes were centrifuged (3500xg for15 min) to separate supernatant from sediment. Supernatant was collected to analyze aflatoxin by ELISA (Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay) with 450 nm wavelength. The Ridascreen test kit is a competitive enzyme immunoassay for the quantitative analysis of aflatoxin with limit detection of <1.7 µg/kg. If concentration of aflatoxin in supernatant would increase, the probability of binder molecules to bind aflatoxin content of binder molecules would be high; thereby the percentage of aflatoxin binding was low because aflatoxin was not bound by binder (precipitation). The ability to bind aflatoxin was expressed in the percentage of aflatoxin binding by using the following formula:

% of aflatoxin binding = \( \frac{(A - B)}{A} \times 100 \)

where:

A = Concentration of aflatoxin in supernatant from control (no binder)

B = Concentration of aflatoxin in supernatant from treatment

Statistical Analysis

Number of replication for every treatment and control were duplo (n= 2). Statistical analysis was performed by using descriptive statistics to show the percentage of aflatoxin binding. The differences between means of proximate analysis of GYP and GRE were compared by using t test. The correlation between weight of binder and the percentage of aflatoxin binding was calculated with the Pearson’s correlation coefficient. When the weight of binder and percentage of aflatoxin had good correlation, the data were analyzed by regression analysis using IBM SPSS version 19. Significance was declared at P<0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In vitro testing by ELISA showed that glucomannan from yeast product and glucomannan extracted from A. oncophyllus could bind aflatoxin. Concentration of aflatoxin in supernatant and the percentage of aflatoxin binding (Table 1) supported the ELISA testing. Weight of binder would affect the ratio between the binder and aflatoxin, because the weights of aflatoxin were constant in each tube. Stock solution A 0.2 mL contained aflatoxin with concentration of 0.821 µg/mL. Therefore, each tube of control or treatment had 0.1642 µg aflatoxin. Weights of binder were 41.05; 82.1; 123.15; and 164.2 mg so the ratios with aflatoxin were µg 2.5x10³; 5x10³; 75x10³ and 10³, respectively.

Increase in the weight of binder GYP and GRE caused the increase in the percentage of aflatoxin binding (Figure 1). If the weight of binder was high, the content of binder molecules would be high; thereby the probability of binder molecules to bind aflatoxin would increase. However, the ability to bind toxin or the percentage of toxin binding decreased as the number of toxins increased (Manafi et al., 2009).

Even though GRE was faster than GYP to achieve the optimal percentage of aflatoxin binding, such as weight of GRE 164.2 g can bind 89.07% but GYP was still 46.35% or in ratio of aflatoxin : binder were 1: 10³, GRE could bind aflatoxin by 89.07%, on the other hand GYP only bound aflatoxin by 46.35%. This condition was caused by the better ability of GRE to bind aflatoxin. Previous research (Gallo & Masoero, 2010) showed the same result of ineffective or limited sequestering activity to aflatoxin were obtained with kaolinite and yeast cell wall-derived product (GYP) with in vitro method including a simply-water model (W), gastro-intestinal stimulating monogastric model (MM) and ruminant model (RM).

Aflatoxin has relatively low molecular weight (312-330) with lipophilic molecule that appears to be absorbed rapidly and completely from gastro intestinal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight of binder (mg)</th>
<th>Glucomannan Yeast Product</th>
<th>Extract Amorphophallus oncophyllus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aflatoxin concentration in supernatant (µg/L)</td>
<td>Percentage of aflatoxin binding (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.81±1.14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.05</td>
<td>6.27±0.07</td>
<td>19.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.10</td>
<td>6.13±0.10</td>
<td>21.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.15</td>
<td>4.51±0.19</td>
<td>42.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>164.20</td>
<td>4.19±0.21</td>
<td>46.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The composition of glucomannan yeast product and glucomannan extracted from Amorphophallus oncophyllus (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture</th>
<th>Crude protein</th>
<th>Crude fat</th>
<th>Crude fiber</th>
<th>Glucose</th>
<th>Mannosa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GYP</td>
<td>6.11±0.17^a</td>
<td>28.63±0.32^a</td>
<td>0.47±0.04^a</td>
<td>10.66±2.20^a</td>
<td>31.89±1.89^a</td>
<td>25.7±5.4^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRE</td>
<td>8.01±0.07^b</td>
<td>6.96±0.09^b</td>
<td>0.74±0.06^b</td>
<td>9.98±0.52^b</td>
<td>27.43±0.06^a</td>
<td>15.2±3.1^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Figure 1. Percentage of aflatoxin binding in glucomannan yeast product (♦♦) and glucomannan extracted from Amorphophallus oncophyllus (♦-♦).

Analysis of Regression

Both data sets on the percentage of aflatoxin binding in GYP and GRE can be used to construct regression model and linear equation (Figure 1). Before attempting to fit a linear model, independent factor (weight of binder) should have a relationship with dependent factor (percentage aflatoxin binding) and this relationship was measured with correlation coefficient. GYP and GRE had correlation coefficients of 0.9602 and 0.9338, respectively. It means that the weight of binder GYP and GRE have good relationship with percentage aflatoxin binding, although the value of correlation coefficient GYP was greater than GRE but both values were greater than 0.8 (strong correlation). Therefore, it can be continued to regression modeling.

The result of regression modeling was linear equation of GYP and GRE. GYP was Y= -31.53+21.07x and GRE is Y= -31.53+21.07x, the slope of the GYP line was 12.03, whereas that of GRE was 21.07. The slope of GYP (12.03) was lower than that of GRE (21.07), indicating that the weight of GRE was more sensitive than that of GYP. A slight increase in the weight of GRE would increase more percentage of aflatoxin binding. Thus the value of aflatoxin binding in GRE was wider than in GYP. The percentage of aflatoxin binding in GRE was 0%–89.07% but in GYP was 0%–46.35%.

Ability to Bind Aflatoxin

GYP and GRE were able to bind aflatoxin because GYP and GRE consisted almost entirely of proteins and carbohydrates (Table 2). The carbohydrate fraction is composed primarily of glucose, mannose, and N-acetylg glucosamine. Glucose and mannose, the two main sugars, were found in GYP and GRE. Concentrations of glucose and mannose obtained were 57.59% (glucose 31.89% and mannose 25.70%) in GYP and 42.63% (glucose 27.43% and mannose 15.20%) in GRE. Yeast mannans chains of various sizes are exposed on the external surface and are linked to cell wall proteins (Evans & Dawson, 2007).

Compositions of protein and mannan in GYP with the ratio of almost 1:1 (28.63 : 25.7) were consistent with those reported by Evan & Dawson (2007). Further, Evan & Dawson (2007) found that yeast cell wall consisted almost entirely of protein and carbohydrate. Glucose and mannose were present in about equal concentrations in GYP and GRE, although part of glucose of GRE was higher than GYP in the ratio of glucose : mannose. Ratio glucose and mannose was assumed to affect the percentage of aflatoxin binding. Ratio of glucose in GRE was higher than GYP, because the ratio glucose : mannose in GRE was 1.8:1 but in GYP was 1.2:1. Part of glucose in GRE was higher than in GYP in ratio of glucose-mannose due to GRE was originated from tuber of A. oncophylus plant that was stored as a product of photosynthesis. Meanwhile, ratio of glucose : mannose in GYP was 1:2.1, similar to result reported by Evan & Dawson (2007) that glucose and mannose, the two main sugars, were present in about equal concentrations in S. cerevisiae. The ratio of glucose and mannose in GYP was lower than that of GRE (21.07). The slope of GYP (12.03) was lower than that of GRE (21.07), indicating that the weight of GRE was more sensitive than that of GYP. A slight increase in the weight of GRE would increase more percentage of aflatoxin binding. Thus the value of aflatoxin binding in GRE was wider than in GYP. The percentage of aflatoxin binding in GRE was 0%–89.07% but in GYP was 0%–46.35%.

Note: Means in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).
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were more stable than in GRE because glucomannan concentration in GRE was influenced by environment at the time of *A. oncophyllus* growth.

Both glucomannan in GYP and GRE are polysaccharide from glucose and mannose. Glucomannan is mainly a straight-chain polymer, with a small amount of branching. The components sugar are β-(1→4)-linked D-mannose and D-glucose in a ratio of 1.6:1 (Tien et al. 2009). The degree of branching is about 8% through β-(1→6)-glucosyl linkages (Zhang et al., 2001). Glucomannan was non starch polysaccharide (Xu et al., 2013). Chemical structure of glucomannan is shown in Figure 2.

The high concentration of protein cannot produce high percentage of aflatoxin binding because glucomannan is not part of protein but is part of carbohydrate, especially polysaccharide. However, protein has stronger capability of binding to β1-4 glucose-mannose than to Xylan because the affinity of protein to β1-4 glucose-mannose is higher than to xylan. Binding protein with glucomannan made hydrogen binding between functional group of hydroxyl (OH) in glucomannan and O₂ or NH₂ of amino acid residues of protein (Flint et al., 2004).

GRE has potential to bind aflatoxin based on in vitro testing. Application of this research (*in vitro*) for further research (*in vivo*) has a good prospect that GYP become a good feed additive because it is safe for use in animal such as poultry. Feed containing 4000 ppm polysaccharide mannan decreased (*P*<0.01) *Salmonella typhimurium* and without decreasing the feed palatability in poultry. Addition of 4000 ppm mannan gave a significantly higher feed/weight gain ratio of the chicks (*P*<0.05). The administration of feed supplemented with mannan from palm kernel cake did not influence weight gain of poultry (Tafsin, 2007).

**Theory of Aflatoxin and Glucomannan Binding**

The molecule of glucomannan reacts with aflatoxin by hydrogen bonding because C=O functional group of aflatoxin oxidized –OH functional group of glucomannan. Widjonarko et al. (2011) reported that molecule structure of glucomannan extracted from *Amorphophalus konjac* was well known by the presence of hydroxyl (–OH) groups. The data are strongly confirmed by Zhang et al. (2001) that glucomannan spectra in Forier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis are dominated by a broad band assigned to the stretching vibration modes of –OH groups and water.

Each molecule of aflatoxin has two C=O functional groups (Figure 3) and each molecule of glucose or mannose has 5 –OH functional groups, so that they have a higher capability to bind reciprocally and produced H₂O. Aflatoxin-glucomannan binding make a complex of compound which cannot be absorbed in the intestine of animal and eliminated with feces that protect the animal from the attack of aflatoxin. Model of aflatoxin binding with glucomannan that processed by Chem Draw Ultra 8.0 is presented in Figure 4.

Recent research showed that in vitro experiment alone on aflatoxin binding only serve to screen potentially useful materials. *In vitro* test should be confirmed by *in vivo* experiments designed to demonstrate both safety and efficacy. *In vitro* testing of GYP and GRE showed the potential ability to bind aflatoxin. Therefore, those two binders should be augmented *in vivo* testing, so that true binding capacity to aflatoxin in animal body is known.
Figure 4. Theory of glucomannan binding with aflatoxin (processed by Chem DrawUltra 8.0). Chemical structure of glucomannan and aflatoxin according to Sande et al. (2009) and IARC (2002), respectively.

Application of GRE

GRE had a good ability of binding to aflatoxin in vitro and indeed the percentage of aflatoxin binding of GRE was higher than that of GYP. Therefore, GRE can become a candidate for feed additive as a aflatoxin binder because in vitro is only screening test (Gallo & Masoero, 2010). In vitro testing only used part of an animal and this experiment was only used gastrointestinal fluid of broiler. For application to animal, GRE must be test in vivo on live animal.

According to the European Food Safety Authority (2010), both in vitro and in vivo testing are required for the assessment of mycotoxin binders because we need information about the interaction of mycotoxin binder with other nutrients in alimentarius duct. The critical point of mycotoxin binder is its low selectivity, so that it can bind not only mycotoxin but also other nutrition.

CONCLUSION

Extract from tuber A. oncophyllus contained glucomannan which can bind aflatoxin in in vitro testing. The percentages of aflatoxin binding by GRE and GYP were 89.07% and 46.35%, in the ratio of aflatoxin : binder of 1:10. Glucomannan extracted from A. oncophyllus has a potential to bind aflatoxin, so that it should be continued in in vivo experiment.
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