Production, Competition Indices, and Nutritive Values of *Setaria splendida*, *Centrosema pubescens*, and *Clitoria ternatea* in Mixed Cropping Systems in Peatland
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**ABSTRACT**

This research was conducted to evaluate production, different competition indices and nutritive value of *Setaria splendida*, *Centrosema pubescens*, and *Clitoria ternatea* in monoculture and mixed cropping system on peat soil land. The experiment was set up in a randomized complete block design with five treatments and three replications. The five treatments were: *S. splendida* sole cropping (SS), *C. pubescens* sole cropping (CP), *C. ternatea* sole cropping (CT), *S. splendida* and *C. pubescens* mix cropping (SS/CP) and *S. splendida*/*C. ternatea* mix cropping (SS/CT). The DM yield of *S. splendida* in mixed cropping with *C. pubescens* increased 43.4% and in mix cropping with *C. ternatea* increased 15.7% compared to sole *S. splendida*. The value of land equivalent ratio of SS/CP (LER\(_{SS/CP}\)) was >1. The LER\(_{SS/CT}\) value was <1. The crowding coefficient value of *S. splendida* (*K\(_{SS}\*)) was higher than *K\(_{CP}\) and *K\(_{CT}\). The total value of K\(_{SS/CP}\) and K\(_{SS/CT}\) were >1. The competition ratio (CR) values of *S. splendida* in both mix cropping were >1. The agressivity (A) values of *S. splendida* in both mix cropping were positive. The crude protein, NDF and ADF content of forage were not affected by mix cropping system. In conclusion, mix cropping in peatland do not affect productivity and nutritive value of *S. splendida*, *C. pubescens*, and *C. ternatea*. *S. splendida* is more effective in exploiting environmental resources when intercropped with *C. pubescens* compared to *C. ternatea* on peatland.
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**ABSTRAK**

Penelitian bertujuan untuk mengevaluasi produksi, indeks kompetisi yang berbeda dan nilai nutrisi *Setaria splendida*, *Centrosema pubescens*, dan *Clitoria ternatea* dalam sistem pertanaman monokultur dan campuran di lahan gambut. Penelitian menggunakan rancangan acak lengkap yang terdiri atas 5 perlakuan dan 3 ulangan, yaitu *S. splendida* yang ditanam tunggal (SS), *C. pubescens* yang ditanam tunggal (CP), *C. ternatea* yang ditanam tunggal (CT), *S. splendida* dan *C. pubescens* yang ditanam campuran (SS/CP), serta *S. splendida* dan *C. ternatea* yang ditanam campuran (SS/CT). Produksi bahan kering *S. splendida* yang ditanam campuran dengan *C. pubescens* meningkat 43.4% dan pertanaman campuran dengan *C. ternatea* meningkat 15.7% dibandingkan pertanaman tunggal. Nilai land equivalent ratio SS/CP (LER\(_{SS/CP}\)) adalah >1. LER\(_{SS/CT}\) adalah <1. Nilai crowding coefficient (K) *S. splendida* (*K\(_{SS}\)) adalah lebih tinggi dari *K\(_{CP}\) and *K\(_{CT}\). Nilai total K plot SS/CP dan SS/CT adalah >1. Nilai competition ratio (CR) *S. splendida* pada kedua pertanaman campuran adalah >1. Nilai agressivity (A) *S. splendida* pada kedua pertanaman campuran adalah positif. Kandungan PK, NDF, dan ADF hijauan tidak terpengaruh oleh sistem pertanaman campuran. Dapat disimpulkan bahwa pertanaman campuran di lahan gambut tidak mempengaruhi produktivitas dan nilai nutrisi *S. splendida*, *C. pubescens*, dan *C. ternatea*. *S. splendida* lebih kompetitif dan dominan dibanding *C. pubescens* dan *C. ternatea* di lahan gambut.
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INTRODUCTION

The availability of low cost feed resources is important for successful ruminant production in smallholder farming system in the tropics (Noula et al., 2004). The major limitation of livestock production is the lack of suitable fodder crops that can produce green forage year round (Mutimura & Everson, 2012). One of the logical approaches to increasing forage production to overcome these problems is pasture improvement by grass and legume mix cropping system (Ajayi et al., 2007). Mix cropping is one of the multiple cropping system, has been practiced by farmer for many years in various ways and most areas (Zhang & Li, 2003). The advantage of grass/legume mix cropping in pasture is the possibility of N addition from the legume to the grass. Depending on the nitrogen content of the soil and the mix of legumes and grasses in a pasture, during the growing season legumes transfer approximately 40 kg N ha⁻¹ to neighbouring plants (Pirhofer-Walzl et al., 2012). Grass/legume mixtures are more sustainable and better overcome unfavorable conditions as compared to their pure cultivation (Peyraud et al., 2009). They are more productive than pure stands and each species contributes the productivity in varying degrees (Vasileva & Vasilev, 2012).

The mayor limitation of pasture development is the lack of mineral soil for forage. The development pasture can be cultivated in peatland (Organosol). Organosol are formed by progressive accumulation plant materials over time (Agus & Subiksa, 2008). Limitations in obtaining high forage production in peatland due to differences in the characteristics of peat soil with mineral soil. Naturally, the peat has a low fertility rate because of the low nutrient content, and generally, the peat has a relatively high level of acidity. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the dry matter production, different aggressivity (Pirhofer-Walzl et al., 2012) and competition indices and nutritive value of S. splendida, C. Pubescens, and C. ternatea in sole and mixed cropping system in peatland.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at research farm of Faculty of Agriculture and Animal Science of UIN Suska Riau and Laboratory of Research Center of Biological Resources and Biotechnology, PAU, Bogor Agricultural University from October 2011 to November 2012. The forages investigated were S. splendida (grass), C. Pubescens, and C. ternatea (legumes). The experiment was set up in randomized complete block design with five treatments and three replicates. Five treatments were: S. splendida sole cropping (SS), C. pubescens sole cropping (CP), C. ternatea sole cropping (CT), S. splendida/C. pubescens mix cropping (SS/CP) and S. splendida/C. ternatea mix cropping (SS/CT).

Plot, Planting Density and Fertilizing

This experiment was conducted in peatland type soil (type sapric). The pH and mineral soil content of experimental land in the form of N, C, C/N, K and P were 5.54, 0.14%, 7.20%, 51.43, 2.48 me/100g, and 30.18 ppm, respectively. The size of experimental land was 11.5x17 m and divided in three blocks. Each block was sub-divided into five plots (each plot size of 2.5 x 5 m), namely SS, CP, CT, SS/CP and SS/CT. The forages were cultivated in September 2011. The plot had a planting density of 50 plants/plot (planting space was 50 x 50 cm) and was maintained under rain-fed condition. The proportion of grass and legume in mix culture plots was 50 : 50. The basal fertilizer was organic fertilizer (cattle manure) applied at the rate 10 t/ha and was applied two weeks before planting, and inorganic fertilizers (NPK) at the rate of 50 kg/ha/yr of was applied two weeks after planting (surrounding the plant).

Propagating, Pruning, Harvesting and Sample Procedure

S. splendida was propagated by cutting while, C. pubescens and C. ternatea was propagated by seed. Pruning was done after 2 mo of grown. The forages were trimmed approximately 20 cm above the ground by using a pair of garden shear. This would allow a new and uniform re-growth from where the experimental samples were later harvested. Grass and legume foliage were harvested six time a year with 60 d cutting interval. The plants were cut approximately 20 cm from the ground from each plot (n= 24 plant) and directly weighed to determine the fresh yield.

Competition Indices

The competitive behaviour of component forages in grass/legume mix cropping system was determined in terms of land equivalent ratio (LER), competition ratio (CR), aggressivity (A) according to the methods described by Dhima et al. (2007) and crowding coefficient (K) was estimated according to the methods of Banik et al. (2006). The LER, which measures the effectiveness of mixed cropping in using the environmental resources, compared to sole cropping. The LER values were calculated as follows:

\[ \text{LER} = \frac{Y_{\text{gs}}}{Y_{\text{gs}}} + \frac{Y_{\text{lm}}}{Y_{\text{lm}}} - \frac{Y_{\text{gs}}}{Y_{\text{gs}}} \times \frac{Y_{\text{lm}}}{Y_{\text{lm}}} \]

where \( Y_{\text{gs}} \) and \( Y_{\text{lm}} \) are the yields of grass and legume as sole crops respectively, and \( Y_{\text{gs}} \) and \( Y_{\text{lm}} \) are the yields of grass and legume as mixtures, respectively. When LER >1, there is yield advantage. The CR gives a clear idea about which forage is more competitive in association. The CR values were calculated as:

\[ \text{CR} = \frac{Z_{\text{gs}}}{Z_{\text{gs}}} + \frac{Z_{\text{lm}}}{Z_{\text{lm}}} - \frac{Z_{\text{gs}}}{Z_{\text{gs}}} \times \frac{Z_{\text{lm}}}{Z_{\text{lm}}} \]

where \( Z_{\text{gs}} \) and \( Z_{\text{lm}} \) are the proportion of grass and legume in the mixture. If CR grass >1, grass is more competitive than legume and if the value is <1, grass is less competitive than legume. The reverse is true for CR legume. The aggressivity (A) is a measure of competitive relationships between two forages in mixed cropping. This was calculated as follows:

\[ A = \left( \frac{Y_{\text{gs}}}{Y_{\text{gs}}} \times Z_{\text{gs}} \right) - \left( \frac{Y_{\text{lm}}}{Y_{\text{lm}}} \times Z_{\text{lm}} \right) \]

and

\[ A = \left( \frac{Y_{\text{gs}}}{Y_{\text{gs}}} \times Z_{\text{gs}} \right) - \left( \frac{Y_{\text{lm}}}{Y_{\text{lm}}} \times Z_{\text{lm}} \right) \]

Thus if \( A_{\text{grass}} = 0 \), both crops are equally competitive. If \( A_{\text{grass}} \) is positive, then the grass is dominant, and if \( A_{\text{grass}} \) is negative, the grass is weak. The relative crowding coefficient (K), which is a measure of the relative dominance of one species over the other in a mixture, was calculated as:

\[ K = \text{LER} - 1 \]
**Chemical Analysis**

Fresh samples of grass and legume from each plot (about 500 g) were dried in air-forced oven at 60 ºC for 48 h, and ground to pass through a 1 mm sieve for chemical analysis. The dry matter (DM) and crude protein (CP) were determined according to the AOAC (2005) procedure. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber (ADF) are estimated according to the method of Van Soest et al. (1991).

**Statistical Analysis**

Data was analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on a completely randomized block design with five treatments and three blocks. Comparison of means were considered significantly different at 5% level (P<0.05) using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Dry Matter Yield of Forages**

**Dry matter (DM) yield per plant.** The effect of mixed cropping on DM yield per plant (g/yr) of *S. splendida*, *C. pubescens* and *C. ternatea* are shown in Table 1. The DM yield of *S. splendida* was not significantly increased by mixed cropping. The DM yield of *S. splendida* in *C. pubescens* increased 43.4% and in *C. ternatea* increased 15.7% compared sole *S. splendida*. Intercropping with *S. splendida* decreased 23.4% DM yield of *C. pubescens*. The decreasing in DM yield due to the impact of the interspecific competition which led *C. pubescens* yield less than those of sole cropping system. Otherwise, *S. splendida* yield higher than those of sole *C. pubescens*. This condition is regularly found in mixed cropping system. A competition increases yield of dominant species, but decreases yield of sub-ordinate species (Marty et al., 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forages</th>
<th>DM yield (g/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. splendida</em></td>
<td>325± 93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. splendida</em> in <em>C. pubescens</em> intercrop</td>
<td>466±215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. splendida</em> in <em>C. ternatea</em> intercrop</td>
<td>376±152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. pubescens</em></td>
<td>145± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. pubescens</em> in <em>S. splendida</em> intercrop</td>
<td>111± 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. ternatea</em></td>
<td>205± 77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. ternatea</em> in <em>S. splendida</em> intercrop</td>
<td>73± 28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean in *C. ternatea* row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

**Competition Indices**

**Land equivalent ratio (LER).** The value of $LER_{SS/CP}$ was $>1$ (1.10) (Table 3). This value indicated that mixed cropping was more effective over sole or mono cropping with regard to the use of environmental sources for plant growth (Mahapatra, 2011). The value of $LER_{SS/CT}$ was $<1$ (0.76) (Table 3) which indicated the disadvantage of mixed cropping over sole *S. splendida* and *C. ternatea* (Yilmaz et al., 2008; Dhima et al., 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cropping system</th>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>DM yield (t/ha/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monoculture</td>
<td><em>S. splendida</em> (SS)</td>
<td>13.01±3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. pubescens</em> (CP)</td>
<td>5.84±0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. ternatea</em> (CT)</td>
<td>8.20±3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture</td>
<td>SS/CP</td>
<td>11.55±3.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS/CT</td>
<td>9.00±3.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).
Table 3. Competition indices (LER, K, CR and A) of S. splendida (SS), C. pubescens (CP), and C. ternatea (CT) under monoculture and mixed cropping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competition indices</th>
<th>SS : CP</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>SS : CT</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land Equivalent Ratio (LER)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowding Coefficient (K)</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition Ratio (CR)</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressivity (A)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Crowding coefficient (K). The value of $K_{SS}$ was higher than $K_{CP}$ and $K_{CT}$ in mixed cropping (Table 3), indicating an advantage of S. splendida over the other legume in the mixed cropping system. The presence of legume improved the growth of S. splendida (Mucheru-Muna et al., 2010). Legume root systems did not give tough competition to the grass (Refliaty et al., 2009), as the grass acquire N from legumes through the decomposition and mineralization of N-rich legume plant litter and N transfer from the legume to grass (Pirhofer-Walzl et al., 2012). The total K value on SS/CP plot was >1 and on SS/CT was <1 (Table 3), indicating that S. splendida intercropped with C. pubescens contributed to the high productivity per unit of land compared to intercropping with C. ternatea (Yilmaz et al., 2008).

Competition ratio (CR). The value of $CR_{SS}$ in S. Splendida intercropped with C. pubescens and C. ternatea were >1 (Table 3), indicating that S. splendida was more competitive than legumes, and caused C. pubescens and C. ternatea growth to be inhibited. Zhang & Li (2003) reported that interspecific competition may occur when two crops are grown together on the same field. Such competition usually decreases survival, growth or reproduction of at least one species.

Aggressivity (A). Based on Table 3, the positive value of aggressivity for S. splendida in both mixed cropping in peatland showed that S. splendida was more dominant over C. pubescens and C. ternatea. Dominance of S. splendida was also reflected in the value of K and CR. This was probably due to more extensive root system of grass than legume (Chen et al., 2004).

Proportion of Grass and Legume on Mix Cropping System

The proportion of grass to legume in mix cropping system based on SS/CP and SS/CT increased from harvest 1 (December 2011) to harvest 6 (October 2012) (Figure 1a and 1b). The reason for increasing proportion of S. splendida may be attributed to nitrogen fixing ability of legume (Yilmaz et al., 2008) and S. splendida was more competitive and aggressive than both the legumes (Table 3). Based on DM yield/yr, the proportion grass to legume on SS/CT plot was higher than the SS/CP plot. The proportion of grass to legume on SS/CT and SS/CP plots were 81.13% versus 18.87% and 79.57% versus 20.43%, respectively. This suggested that C. pubescens was more competitive than C. ternatea when intercropped with S. splendida in peatland.

Nutrient Composition

Dry matter (DM) content. The DM content of forage was not affected by cropping system but influenced by the forage type (Table 4). The result of study found that DM content of legume was significantly (P<0.05) higher than grass. This result agree with Ajayi et al. (2007) who found that the DM content of grass (Panicum maximum) was lower than legumes (Aeschynomene histrix and Stylosanthes guianensis).

Crude protein (CP) content. The CP content of forages was not affected by cropping system but influenced by the forage type (Table 4). This result was contrary with those reported by several researchers (Karadag &
Table 4. The content of dry matter (DM), crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fibre (NDF), and acid detergent fibre (ADF) of S. splendida, C. pubescens, and C. ternatea under monoculture and mixed cropping.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forage</th>
<th>DM (%)</th>
<th>CP (%)</th>
<th>NDF (%)</th>
<th>ADF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. splendida</td>
<td>14.8±0.3a</td>
<td>7.5±0.5b</td>
<td>72.4±2.1b</td>
<td>37.4±1.3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. splendida in C. pubescens intercrop</td>
<td>14.2±0.4a</td>
<td>7.4±0.9b</td>
<td>68.2±0.8ab</td>
<td>36.0±0.5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. splendida in C. ternatea intercrop</td>
<td>14.8±0.7b</td>
<td>7.4±0.8b</td>
<td>72.6±1.2b</td>
<td>37.6±0.9b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. pubescens</td>
<td>24.3±0.4c</td>
<td>17.5±2.2a</td>
<td>67.8±2.1ab</td>
<td>45.6±1.6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. pubescens in S. splendida intercrop</td>
<td>23.7±1.3c</td>
<td>17.4±0.9b</td>
<td>66.0±0.7b</td>
<td>45.8±1.7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. ternatea</td>
<td>23.0±1.3c</td>
<td>14.8±2.8b</td>
<td>55.7±1.4a</td>
<td>39.2±0.6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. ternatea in S. splendida intercrop</td>
<td>24.1±0.9c</td>
<td>15.1±3.1b</td>
<td>52.1±8.2a</td>
<td>37.4±3.9b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Mean in the same column with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05).

Buyukburc, 2004; Albayrak et al., 2011; Eskandari, 2012; Njad et al., 2013) who reported that grass intercropped with legume resulted in improved soil fertility through the addition nitrogen by fixation and excretion from the legume component and also increased CP content of nearby grass. The CP content of S. splendida that were planted in peatland was slightly lower than the average CP content of S. splendida reported by Heuzé & Tran (2012) who showed that the CP content of S. splendida was 9.1%. The CP content of C. pubescens and C. ternatea were higher significantly (P<0.05) than S. splendida. This indicated that legumes have a higher nutrient content than grass (Albayrak et al., 2011) particularly CP content (Paulson et al., 2008). These study also showed that CP content of both legumes planted in peatland was generally lower than those found by several researchers. Evitayani et al. (2004), Omel et al. (2011) and Martens et al. (2012) reported that CP content of C. pubescens was 18.9%, 25.5%, and 23.6%, respectively. However, Mahala et al. (2012), Nasrullah et al. (2003) and Heinritz et al. (2012) reported that CP content of C. ternatea was 17%, 18.28%, and 19%, respectively. These evidences showed that CP content is influenced by forage type, environmental condition and land condition (Jayangara & Sofyan, 2008). Soil conditions will affect the availability of nutrients and soil organic matter (Sabrina et al., 2013) which led to varied growth and forage quality.

S. splendida/C. pubescens and S. splendida/C. ternatea mixed cropping did not increase CP production per unit area of land. Even, the CP production of SS/CT plot tended to be lower than SS plot (Table 5). This was due to the low dry matter production of C. pubescens and C. ternatea intercropped with S. splendida (Table 1).

Neutral detergent fibre (NDF). Based on Table 4, the NDF content of forages was not affected by cropping system but influenced by the forage type. NDF content of C. ternatea (52.1%-55.7%) obtained in this study were significantly (P<0.05) lower than NDF content of S. splendida (68.2%-72.6%) and C. pubescens (66%-67.8%). In general, NDF content of C. pubescens was lower than of S. splendida. These results indicated that the NDF content of legumes was generally lower than those of grass. This was attributed to the different characteristics of the cell wall (Paulson et al., 2008). Legumes have particularly large amounts of pectin in primary walls, resulting in more pectin in legume forages than grasses in both leaves and stems (Jung & Casler, 2006; Paulson et al., 2008). Most tissue types in grasses lignify as they mature whereas most legume tissues do not lignify (Paulson et al., 2008). Based on the value of NDF, the C. ternatea intake was higher than S. splendida and C. pubescens when fed to ruminants. NDF value reflects the total fraction of fibre (cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin). Thus, the NDF content will be negatively correlated to the intake (Mertens, 2009). When NDF content of forage is high, it causes a decrease in intake (Milic et al., 2011). The average NDF content of S. splendida, C. Pubescens, and C. ternatea found in this study were generally higher than the findings of other researchers. Heuzé & Tran (2012) reported that NDF content of S. splendida was 69.5%. Evitayani et al. (2004) reported that NDF content of C. pubescens that grows in North Sumatra was 51.1%. Nasrullah et al. (2003) found that NDF content of C. ternatea which grows naturally in South Sulawesi was 42.30%. Variation in NDF content is caused by genotype and environmental factors (Adesogan et al., 2002; Nasrullah et al., 2003; Evitayani et al., 2004).

Acid detergent fibre content. This study found that the ADF content of forages was not affected by cropping system but influenced by the forage type (Table 4). This was probably due to the relative stability of the cellulose content of grasses and legumes (Weiss et al., 2002). The
results showed that the ADF content of C. pubescens was significantly (P<0.05) higher than C. ternatea and S. splendida. In relation to the digestibility C. pubescens have lower digestibility than C. ternatea and S. splendida (Albayrak et al., 2011). The results also illustrated that the ADF content of legumes was not always lower than the grass. Grasses and legumes are likely to have the same ADF value, but NDF content of grass generally always substantially higher than the legume (Weiss et al., 2002).

**CONCLUSION**

Mixed cropping in peatland (type sapric) does not influence productivity and nutritive value of S. splendida, C. Pubescens, and C. ternatea. S. splendida is more effective in exploiting environmental resources when intercropped with C. pubescens compared C. ternatea on peatland. Based on value of K, CR, and A, S. splendida is more competitive and dominant than C. pubescens and C. ternatea on peatland.
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